



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/706,103	11/12/2003	Douglas Craig Scott	9118M2	5133	
27752	7590 07/14/2006	0 07/14/2006		EXAMINER	
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION			СЕМВЕН, S	GEMBEH, SHIRLEY V	
WINTON HILL BUSINESS CENTER - BOX 161			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
6110 CENTER HILL AVENUE			1614		
CINCINNATI, OH 45224			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/706,103	SCOTT ET AL.				
micriview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shirley V. Gembeh	1614				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) Shirley V. Gembeh.	(3) Angela Stone.					
(2) <u>Doug Scott</u> . (4) <u>Ardin Marschel</u> .						
Date of Interview: 30 June 2006.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed:						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Lawlor US 6706256 B2</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In generality both applications10/706,103 and 10/706104 were discussed simultaneously Applicant claims that even though the above cited reference had the same composition as cited in Applicants claim, the difference is in the retention index in the instant claims, and that the cited reference does not teach the retention index therefore the Lawlor reference does not meet the bounds and scope of the current claims. Applicant suggested to send in a declaration some comparison of the represented embodiments in the lawlor with their invention, together with some photos showing clearly how the two inventions (lawlor and the current) chewed on separated sides of the mouth simultaneously differs Applicant is also asked to summarize the inteview in the next response.